



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,990	11/17/2003	Jose A. Ramirez	12067-0006	9395
22902	7590	06/27/2007		
CLARK & BRODY 1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/712,990	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAMIREZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Nathan W. Schlientz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616

**All Participants:**

(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.

(2) Christopher Brody.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2007

**Time:** 13:55 and 15:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 5,387,605 (Beilfuss)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHANN RICHTER  
 SENIOR ADVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 GROUP 1220

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As a follow up to the personal interview of 21 June 2007, the examiner requested Applicants provide a showing comparing the composition of Example 3 from Beilfuss with the instantly claimed composition in order to provide sufficient evidence of unexpected results.